West Waterway, Port of Seattle, King County, WA.

Summary: EPA had no objections to the preferred alternative as described in the final EIS based on the new analyses and information presented in the final EIS.

ERP No. F-COE-L81000–WA Auburn Thoroughbred Horse Racing Facility, Construction and Operation, COE Section 404 Permit and NPDES Permit, City of Auburn, King County, WA.

Summary: EPA continued to have environmental concerns regarding the adequacy of wetland mitigation and water quality monitoring plans. ERP No. F-FHW-E40746–NC US 421

Transportation Improvement just west of the South Fork New River to NC– 1361 east of the Town of Deep Gap, Funding, Land Transfer and COE Section 404 Permit(s), Watauga County, NC.

Summary: EPA continued to have environmental concerns about the ability to adequately contain erosion and sedimentation during construction in the mountain terrain.

Dated: March 28, 1995

B. Katherine Biggs

Associate Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities. [FR Doc. 95–8010 Filed 3–30–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

[FRL-5181-1]

National Technical Conference on Sanitary Sewer Overflows (SSOs)

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) is sponsoring a technical conference on Sanitary Sewer Overflows (SSOs). This conference is sponsored by the EPA Office of Research and Development's Center for Environmental Research Information in Cincinnati, OH, in cooperation with the EPA Office of Wastewater Management in Washington, DC.

Background and Purpose

Thousands of municipalities across the nation are serviced by separate sanitary sewer systems. A chronic problem that faces many of these

systems is the occurrence of sanitary sewer overflows (SSOs). Caused mainly by the infiltration and inflow of wet weather flows and blockages and flow restrictions in the sewer system, these SSOs can pose a risk to public health and the quality of our nation's waters. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is currently in the initial stages of evaluating issues associated with SSOs. To bring together individuals from across the country to discuss the technical and institutional issues related to SSOs, EPA's Office of Research and Development is holding this conference on SSOs in cooperation with the EPA Office of Wastewater Management in Washington, DC. All interested persons are invited.

The purpose of this conference is to examine each major issue relating to SSOs by providing an open forum for the presentation of papers and discussions dealing with the technical and institutional issues related to the causes, effects, management and control of SSOs. This conference will include presentations and discussions of the following issues relating to SSOs:

- Problem definition and identification
- Monitoring and reporting
- Sewer system analysis and modeling
 Infiltration (including RII) and inflow sources
- Exfiltration
- Sewer system design and construction for SSO prevention
- Sewer system inspection, operation, maintenance and rehabilitation
- Building sewer inspection and maintenance
 - Treatment plant issues
 - Regulatory/institutional issues

DATES: The Conference will be held on April 24–26, 1995. On the 24th, the meeting will begin at approximately 10:00 a.m. EST and run until about 5:00 p.m. On the 26th, the meeting will run from about 9:00 a.m. until completion. ADDRESSES: The Conference will be held at the Renaissance, Washington, DC Hotel—Downtown, 999 9th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20001, (202) 898–9000, Fax: 789–4213.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James F. Wheeler of EPA's Office of Wastewater Management, at (202) 260–5827.

Michael B. Cook,

Director, Office of Wastewater Management Designated Federal Official.

[FR Doc. 95–7869 Filed 3–30–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

[OPPTS-40028; FRL-4936-7]

Conditional Exemptions from TSCA Section 4 Test Rules

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: EPA is granting conditional exemptions from the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA), section 4 test rule requirements to certain manufacturers of chemical substances subject to these rules.

DATES: These conditional exemptions are effective on March 31, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

James Willis, Acting Director, Environmental Assistance Division (7408), Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. E–543B, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460, (202) 554–1404, TDD (202) 554–0551.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice grants conditional exemptions from TSCA section 4 test rule requirements to all manufacturers of the chemical substances identified below who submitted exemption applications in accordance with 40 CFR 790.80. In each case, EPA has received a letter of intent to conduct the testing from which exemption is sought. Accordingly, the Agency has conditionally approved these exemption applications because the conditions set out in 40 CFR 790.87 have been met. All conditional exemptions thus granted are contingent upon successful completion of testing and submission of data by the test sponsors according to the requirements of the applicable test rule.

If the test requirements are not met and EPA terminates a conditional exemption under 40 CFR 790.93, the Agency will notify each holder of an affected conditional exemption by certified mail or **Federal Register** notice.

This conditional approval applies to all manufacturers which submitted exemption applications for testing of the chemical substances named in the final test rules listed below from January 1, 1994 through December 31, 1994. Any application received after that date will be addressed separately.

Chemicals	CAS No.	40 CFR Citation	Company
9,10-anthraquinone	84–65–1	799.500	Medson Farmers Service Co. Inchema Inc. RedEagle Chemicals Co. Zhonghua Chem Corp.
biphenyl	92–52–4	799.925	Nisseki Chemical Texas Inc.
cumene	98-82-8	799.1285	General Electric Co. Nisseki Chemical Texas Inc.
1,3 dichloropropanol (OSW)	96–23–1	799.5055	MacDermid Inc.
diethylene glycol monobutyl ether	112–34–5	799.1560	Cobane Corp.
2-ethylhexanol	104–76–7	799.1645	Lubrizol Corp. 3V Inc.
Office of Water Chemicals	67–63–0	799.2325	Cobane Corp. Elf Atochem Guardman Products, Inc. Lubrizol Corp.
chloroethane	75-00-3	799.5075	General Motors Corp.
			Westlake Monomers Corp.
1,1-dichloroethane	75–34–3	799.5075	General Motors Corp. Westlake Monomers Corp.
1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane	79–34–5	799.5075	General Motors Corp. Westlake Monomers Corp.
n-propylbenzene	103–65–1	799.5075	General Motors Corp. Westlake Monomers Corp.
1,3,5-trimethylbenzene	108–67–8	799.5075	Amoco Oil Co. BASF Corp. Conoco Inc. Exxon Chemical Americas General Motors Corp. Glidden Co. ICI Acrylics, Inc. Mitsubishi Gas Chemical America, Inc. Mobil Oil Corp. Shell Oil Co. Sun Company, Inc. Texaco Inc. Union Carbide Corp. Westlake Monomers Corp.
Multi-Substance Rule for the Testing of Neurotoxicity ¹			
acetone	67–64–1	799.5050	Courtaulds Aerospace Elf Atochem General Motors Corp.
n-amyl acetate	628–63–7	799.5050	Courtaulds Aerospace General Motors Corp.
1-butanol	71–36–3	799.5050	Courtaulds Aerospace General Motors Corp. W. R. Grace Co. Williams-Hayward Protective Coatings, Inc.
n-butyl acetate	123–86–4	799.5050	Courtaulds Aerospace Elf Atochem General Motors Corp. W. R. Grace Co. Olin Chemicals
diethyl ether	60–29–7	799.5050	Courtaulds Aerospace General Motors Corp.
2-ethoxyethanol	110–80–5	799.5050	Courtaulds Aerospace Elf Atochem W. R. Grace Co. General Motors Corp.
ethyl acetate	141–78–6	799.5050	Courtaulds Aerospace General Motors Corp. Tolson USA Inc. Vanity Fair

Chemicals	CAS No.	40 CFR Citation	Company
isobutyl alcohol	78–83–1	799.5050	Courtaulds Aerospace Elf Atochem General Motors Corp. W. R. Grace Co.
methyl isobutyl ketone	108–10–1	799.5050	Courtaulds Aerospace Elf Atochem General Motors Corp. W. R. Grace Co. Williams-Hayward Protective Coatings, Inc.
tetrahydrofuran	109–99–9	799.5050	Courtaulds Aerospace General Motors Corp.

¹ The Multi-Substance Rule for the Testing of Neurotoxicity has been revoked and replaced with an Enforceable Consent Agreement.

As provided in 40 CFR 790.80, processors are not required to apply for an exemption or conduct testing unless EPA so specifies in a test rule or in a special **Federal Register** notice.

Authority: 15 U.S.C. 2601, 2603.

Dated:March 24, 1995.

Charles M. Auer.

Director, Chemical Control Division, Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics.
[FR Doc. 95–7960 Filed 3–30–95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–F

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Public Information Collection Requirements Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission for Extension Under Delegated Authority 5 CFR 1320.9

March 28, 1995.

The Federal Communications Commission is reviewing the following information collection requirements for possible 3-year extension under delegated authority 5 CFR 1320.9, authority delegated to the Commission by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) on October 6, 1994. These collections were all previously approved by OMB and are unchanged. Public comments are invited on any of these collections for a period ending [thirty days from the date of publication] in the Federal Register.] Persons wishing to comment on these information collections should contact Dorothy Conway, Federal Communications Commission, 1919 M Street NW Room 242–B, Washington, DC 20554. You may also send comments via Internet to DConway@fcc.gov. Upon approval FCC will forward supporting material and copies of these collections to OMB.

Copies of these submissions may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Service, Inc., 2100 M Street, NW, Suite 140, Washington, DC 20037, (202) 857–3800. For further information on these submissions contact Dorothy Conway, Federal Communications Commission, (202) 418–0217.

OMB Number: 3060-0422.

Title: Section 68.5 Waivers (Application Waiver of Hearing Aid Compatibility Requirement).

Action: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other forprofit.

Frequency of Response: On occasion. Estimated Annual Burden: 10 responses; 3 hours burden per response; 30 hours total annual burden.

Needs and Uses: Section 710(b) of the Communications Act requires that almost all telephones manufactured or imported into the country after August 16, 1989 be hearing aid compatible. Congress recognized however, that there may be technological and/or economic reasons why some new telephones may not meet the hearing aid compatibility requirement. Therefore, it provided for a waiver requirement for new telephones based on these grounds. Section 68.5 of the Commission rules provide the criteria for assess these waivers. Upon receipt of a waiver request the Commission will determine the merits of the requests and whether the public interest is served by granting a waiver.

OMB Number: 3060-0355.

Title: Rate of Return Reports. Form No.: FCC 492, FCC 492A. Action: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other forprofit.

Frequency of Response: On occasion. Estimated Annual Burden: 193 responses; 8 hours burden per response; 1,544 hours total annual burden.

Needs and Uses: FCC Form 492 is filed by each local exchange carrier (LEC) or group of carriers who file individual access tariffs or who are not subject to Sections 61.41 and 61.49 of the Commission's Rules. Each LEC or group of affiliates subject to the previously stated sections file FCC Form 492A annually. These forms are necessary to monitor the access tariffs and to enforce maximum rate of return prescriptions and price cap earnings levels.

OMB Number: 3060-0357.

Title: Section 63.701 Request for Designation of a Recognized Private Operating Agency.

Action: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other forprofit

Frequency of Response: On occasion. Estimated Annual Burden: 30 responses; 5 hours burden per response; 150 hours total annual burden.

Needs and Uses: Filing an application under Section 63.701 is required of those seeking U.S. Government recognition as providers of enhanced services between the U.S. and overseas points. The information is used by the Commission and the Department of State to identify entities which are operating in the name of the U.S, extract from them a promise to obey the ITU Convention and regulations and determine whether they are owned by a foreign government, foreign communications entity or any other foreign entity in the position to discriminate against U.S. suppliers of enhanced services.

OMB Number: 3060-00567.

Title: Section 76.962 Implementation of certification compliance.

Action: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other forprofit.

Frequency of Response: On occasion. Estimated Annual Burden: 500 responses; 30 minutes burden per response; 250 hours total annual burden.